

ISSN 1681-178X



9 771681 178012

without. prejudice®

R45.00 (Inc. VAT)
Vol 20 No 5, June 2020

The Law Magazine

Consumer Protection Act

- 33 | Bundling in terms of the Consumer Protection Act
Great caution must be used when selling bundled goods or services
JUSTINE KRIGE – CLIFFE DEKKER HOFMEYR

International

- 36 | Tales from the US of A
PATRICK BRACHER – NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT (SOUTH AFRICA)

Platteland perspective

- 38 | Kenya Law School
A satisfying outcome
CARMEL RICKARD

Advertising Law

- 40 | Incongruent realities
incongruencies in business and in advertising
LEON GROBLER – PERSPECTIVE CONSULTING

Intellectual Property Law

- 41 | The case of the interdict for which the Plaintiff did not ask
Nu-World Industries (Pty) Ltd v Strix Ltd [2020] ZASCA 28 (Strix.2)
OWEN SALMON – MAISELS GROUP

Intellectual Property Law – COVID-19

- 43 | South Africa: COVID-19, Amazon and the changing face of brand enforcement
Ensuring the safety of brands
GAELYN SCOTT – ENSAFRICA

- 44 | South Africa: COVID-19, technology and patents: the good, the bad and the ugly
Trade mark issues around COVID-19
ROWAN FORSTER – ENSAFRICA

- 46 | National news
Legal firm news

- 47 | Constitutional Court Art Collection – Cover
‘Judge’
THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT TRUST

Law of Succession

- 47 | Limitations on the right to freedom of testation – Part 2
An analysis of the limitations with regard to discrimination
MUNEER ABDUROAF – UNIVERSITY OF THE WESTERN CAPE

Road Accident Fund

- 48 | A change of direction for the Road Accident Fund
Mabunda Incorporated v Road Accident Fund (15876/2020)
BERNA MALAN – ABSA

Financial Law

- 50 | Living annuities and divorce: Are non-member spouses being deprived of accrued retirement benefits
Courts must consider matrimonial principles to protect the rights of non-member spouses
CLEMENT MARUMOAGAE – UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND

Financial Law – COVID-19

- 52 | COVID-19 – Trends that continue to influence Africa
Sustainable financing, and South Africa's role to facilitate sustainable investment deals
PIETER VAN WELZEN – CMS (SOUTH AFRICA)

- 53 | Estate planning during a pandemic: are your affairs in order?
Wills
DEON BEACHEN – ENSAFRICA (FISA MEMBER)

Property Law – COVID-19

- 55 | To pay or not to pay in the context of COVID-19
Retail tenants and lease agreements
Thato Mashishi – KPMG Legal Services

The Law – COVID-19

- 56 | COVID-19 law enforcement incompetence and brutality not a new thing
An urgent need ‘to fix the leaking roof’
MTHO MAPHUMULO – ADAMS & ADAMS

- 57 | Covid-19 Lockdown gently nudges court into 4th Industrial Revolution
Setting the tone for the courts in the 4th Industrial Revolution
KYLIE SLAMBERT AND YURI TANGUR – LAWTONS AFRICA

Deal Selection

- 60 | Who did what in May
DEALMAKERS

Cover: Artwork: Jaco Sieberhagen, ‘Judge’ 1999, carved wood, 824 x 227 x 230 mm. Donated by the artist to the CCAC. Cover image courtesy of the Constitutional Court Trust, as part of the Constitutional Court Art Collection (CCAC). For more information, visit ccac.concourtrust.org.za or follow @concourt_art on Instagram and Twitter.

Photograph by Ernest Bellingan Scott.

Constitutional Court Art Collection / cover

“Judge”



THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT TRUST

Artist Jaco Sieberhagen found a piece of drift-wood in a river running through the mountains around Worcester, which he sculpted into “Judge” in 1999.

Sieberhagen aimed to leave the original weathered wood as untouched as possible. According to him, he developed the theme for this specific piece of wood from its expressive texture, “I wanted to contribute to the ‘story’ of the sculpture instead of completely imposing my will on the wood. While carving the face, I felt that although the wood was weathered, the face should have an inner strength and portray wisdom – the wisdom that only comes with time and experience. From there, the idea developed that I could carve the lines of the scales of justice into the sculpture”.

The artwork embodies the core theme of the Constitutional Court Art Collection: the intersection of art and justice. ♦



Law of succession

Limitations on the right to freedom of testation

MUNEER ABDUROAF

Part 2

In the previous article, I discussed two case studies involving discriminatory testamentary provisions. We now move on to the third example; the matter of *Curators, Emma Smith Educational Fund v University of KwaZulu-Natal 2010 (6) SA 518 (SCA) (Emma Smith Educational Fund)*. The judgment was handed down by the Supreme Court of Appeal (SCA). It dealt with discriminatory testamentary provisions in a public charitable trust. Eligibility for the bursary was limited to European girls who were born of British South African or Dutch South African parents. It was further required that they must have been resident in Durban for a period of at least three years immediately preceding the grant.

An order was sought for the deletion of the discriminatory provisions from the trust deed based on s13 of the TPCA that allows the court to vary provisions in a trust instrument. The court held that the constitutional obligation to remove provisions that are in conflict with public policy takes precedence over freedom of testation. The court did not answer the question as to whether the Constitution can be applied directly to the law of succession (*King NO and Others v De Jager and Others 2017 (4) All SA 57 (WCC)*). It must be noted that the court placed considerable emphasis on the fact that the trust was a public charitable one which operated in the public sphere. It held that there can be no question that racially discriminatory testamentary dispositions in the public sphere will not pass constitutional muster. The court stated that testamentary dispositions in the private sphere would require a totally different approach.

The fourth case to look at is *In re: Heydenrych Testamentary Trust and Others 2012 (4) SA 103 (WCC)*. Judgment was handed down by the Western Cape High Court. It dealt with discriminatory testamentary provisions in a number of public charitable trusts. It was argued that the trusts